arXiv:0908.1544v1 [math.GN] 11 Aug 2009

THE SOLECKI DICHOTOMY FOR FUNCTIONS WITH ANALYTIC GRAPHS

JANUSZ PAWLIKOWSKI AND MARCIN SABOK

Abstract. A dichotomy discovered by Solecki says that a Baire class 1 function from a Souslin space into a Polish space either can be decomposed into countably many continuous functions, or else contains one particular function which cannot be so decomposed. In this paper we generalize this dichotomy to arbitrary functions with analytic graphs. We provide a "classical" proof, which uses only elementary combinatorics and topology.

1. Introduction

An old question of Lusin asked whether there exists a Borel function which cannot be decomposed into countably many continuous functions. By now, several examples have been given, by Keldiš, Adyan and Novikov among others. A particularly simple example, the function P has been found in [1]. To define it, we introduce two topologies on ω^ω. The first one, referred to as *the Baire topology* is the usual one. The second, referred to as *the Cantor topology* is the one induced by the identification of ω with $\{0\} \cup \{2^{-n} : n < \omega\} \subseteq [0,1]$ via $0 \mapsto 0, n \mapsto 2^{-n}$. Note that the space ω^ω with the Cantor topology is homeomorphic to the Cantor space 2^ω. The function P is the identity function from ω^ω with the Cantor topology into ω^ω with the Baire topology.

Let $H = \dot{H} = \ddot{H}$ be the Hilbert cube. We consider subsets $\dot{A} \subseteq \dot{H}$, $\ddot{A} \subseteq \ddot{H}$ and a function $A : \dot{A} \to \ddot{A}$. In this paper we will prove the following result.

Theorem 1. *If $\dot{A}$ and $\ddot{A}$ are analytic and $A : \dot{A} \to \ddot{A}$ is analytic as a subset of $\dot{A} \times \ddot{A}$, then either A can be covered by countably many continuous functions, or else there are $\dot{\varphi} : \omega^\omega \to \dot{A}$ and $\ddot{\varphi} : \omega^\omega \to \ddot{A}$ such that the*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03E15, 26A15, 54H05.

Key words and phrases. σ-continuity, Borel functions.

research supported by MNiSW grant N 201 361836.

following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\omega^\omega \arrow[r, "\ddot{\varphi}"] & \ddot{A} \\
\omega^\omega \arrow[r, "\dot{\varphi}"] \arrow[u, "P"'] & \dot{A} \arrow[u, "A"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

and

- $\dot{\varphi}$ *is a topological embedding from* ω^ω *with the Cantor topology into* $\dot{A}$*,*
- $\ddot{\varphi}$ *is a topological embedding from* ω^ω *with the Baire topology into* $\ddot{A}$*.*

Theorem 1 has been proved by Solecki [2, Theorem 4.1] in the case when A is a Baire class 1 function. Zapletal [3, Corollary 2.3.48] proved this dichotomy in the case when A is a Borel function and $\dot{A} = \omega^\omega$ (however, that argument does not seem to work in case when $\dot{A}$ is an arbitrary Souslin space). The formulation of Theorem 1 seems to be a natural generalization of both these cases.

It should be noted that both proofs of Solecki and Zapletal use quite sophisticated methods of mathematical logic and the Borel case uses the Baire class 1 case and Borel determinacy. On the other hand, our proof works in the second-order arithmetic.

2. NOTATION

If $T \subseteq S^{<\omega}$ (S is some countable set) is a tree, then we write $\lim T$ for $\{s \in S^\omega : \forall n < \omega \ s\restriction n \in T\}$. For $\sigma \in S^{<\omega}$ we denote by $[\sigma]$ the set $\{s \in S^\omega : \ \sigma \subseteq s\}$.

The Hilbert cube H is endowed with the standard complete metric. We write $|x, y|$ for the distance between points $x, y \in H$, $|X|$ for the diameter of a subset $X \subseteq H$ and $|X, Y|$ for the Hausdorff distance between $X, Y \subseteq H$.

For $k \leq l < \omega$ write

$$|k, l| = \begin{cases} 2^{-k} - 2^{-l} & \text{if} \quad k > 0, \\ 2^{-l} & \text{if} \quad k = 0 \end{cases}$$

and for $l < k < \omega$ let $|k, l| = |l, k|$.

If $0 < N \leq \omega$ and $s, r \in \omega^N$, define

$$|s, r| = \sum_{n<N} |s(n), r(n)| \cdot 2^{-n}.$$

Notice that for $N = \omega$ the above metric gives the Cantor topology on ω^ω.

We fix also a complete metric for $(\omega^\omega)^\omega$ and use $|\cdot, \cdot|$ to denote distance and diameter.

For $(r,\dot{x},\ddot{x}),(s,\dot{y},\ddot{y}) \in (\omega^\omega)^\omega \times \dot{H} \times \ddot{H}$ write

$$|(r,\dot{x},\ddot{x}),(s,\dot{y},\ddot{y})| = \max(|r,s|,|\dot{x},\dot{y}|,|\ddot{x},\ddot{y}|)$$

and, as above, write $|\tilde{X}|$ for the diameter of $\tilde{X} \subseteq (\omega^\omega)^\omega \times (\dot{H} \times \ddot{H})$

Let $\pi : (\omega^\omega)^\omega \times (\dot{H} \times \ddot{H}) \to \dot{H} \times \ddot{H}$, $\dot{\pi} : (\omega^\omega)^\omega \times (\dot{H} \times \ddot{H}) \to \dot{H}$ and $\ddot{\pi} : (\omega^\omega)^\omega \times (\dot{H} \times \ddot{H}) \to \ddot{H}$ be the projection maps.

If X is a subset of A, then by $\dot{X}$ and $\ddot{X}$ we denote the projections of X onto $\dot{H}$ and $\ddot{H}$, respectively. We write $Y \subseteq_{\mathrm{nwd}} X$ if $Y \subseteq X$ and $\dot{Y} \subseteq \mathrm{cl}(\dot{X} \setminus \dot{Y})$.

3. The dichotomy

In the remaining part of this paper we give a proof of Theorem 1.

We denote by I the σ-ideal of subsets of A generated by graphs of continuous functions. We say that a subset X of A is *I-positive* if $X \notin I$.

Lemma 1. *If $X \subseteq A$ is I-positive, then*

1. *$\ddot{X}$ is uncountable,*
2. *there exists I-positive $Y \subseteq X$ such that Y is relatively closed in X and $Y \subseteq_{\mathrm{nwd}} X$.*

Proof. The first part is obvious. Note that $X : \dot{X} \to \ddot{X}$ is a Baire measurable function, so $\dot{X}$ has a dense $\mathbf{G}_\delta$ (relative) subset G such that $X \restriction G$ is continuous. Write $\dot{X} \setminus G = \bigcup_{n<\omega} F_n$, where each F_n is closed nowhere dense (relative) subset of $\dot{X}$. Now

$$X = X \restriction G \quad \cup \quad \bigcup_{n<\omega} X \restriction F_n.$$

Since $X \restriction G \in I$, at least one $X \restriction F_n$ is I-positive. Note that $X \restriction F_n = X \cap (F_n \times \ddot{H})$ is relatively closed in X. □

Lemma 2. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\dot{X} \subseteq \dot{H}$. There is $K \in \omega$ such that for any sequence $\langle \dot{X}_k \subseteq \dot{X} : k < K \rangle$ such that for $k \neq k' < K$*

$$\dot{X}_k \cup \dot{X}_{k'} \text{ is dense in } \dot{X}$$

there is $k < K$ such that

$$|\dot{X}_k, \dot{X}| < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Using compactness of $\dot{H}$, find finite set $\dot{Y} \subseteq \dot{X}$ such that $|\dot{Y}, \dot{X}| < \varepsilon$. Let K be any number greater than the cardinality of $\dot{Y}$.

Since $|\dot{X}_k, \mathrm{cl}\dot{X}_k| = 0$, without loss of generality assume that all $\dot{X}_k$ are closed and for $k \neq k'$ we have $\dot{X}_k \cup \dot{X}_{k'} = \dot{X}$. We search for k such that $\dot{Y} \subseteq \dot{X}_k$. If every k fails at some point of $\dot{Y}$, then there are $k \neq k'$ that fail at the same point. This violates $\dot{X}_k \cup \dot{X}_{k'} = \dot{X}$. □

3.1. **Projection and ordering.** For $\sigma \in \omega^{<\omega}$ write lhσ for the length of σ and σ^* for σ with the last digit removed if $\sigma \neq \emptyset$ (and let $\emptyset^* = \emptyset$).

For $n < \omega$ we define the projection function

$$\omega^n \ni \sigma \mapsto \sigma' \in \omega^n$$

as follows: the first largest digit of σ which is $\geq n$ is changed to 0; if there is no such digit, we put $\sigma' = \sigma$.

Write $\sigma^1 = \sigma'$ and $\sigma^{i+1} = (\sigma^i)'$. Note that for $\sigma \in \omega^n$

- $(\sigma^n)' = \sigma^n$,
- $|\sigma, \sigma'| \leq 2^{-n} \cdot 2^{-i}$ if the change occures at i-th digit,
- $|\sigma, \sigma''| = |\sigma, \sigma'| + |\sigma', \sigma''|$, since the consecutive changes occure at different places,
- $|\sigma, \sigma^n| \leq 2 \cdot 2^{-n}$

Fix an ordering $\preceq$ of $\omega^{<\omega}$ into type ω such that $\sigma' \preceq \sigma$ and $\sigma^* \preceq \sigma$ for each $\sigma \in \omega^{<\omega}$. Write $\#\sigma$ for the number indicating the position of σ with respect to $\preceq$. Note that $\#\emptyset = 0$, $\#\langle 0\rangle = 1$ and lh$\sigma \leq \#\sigma$

3.2. **Solids.** We call a nonempty analytic $X \subseteq A$ *solid* if for all open $U \subseteq \dot{H} \times \ddot{H}$ either $U \cap X = \emptyset$, or else $U \cap X$ is I-positive. Note that every analytic I-positive set contains a solid. If X is a solid, then for each open set $U \subseteq \dot{H} \times \ddot{H}$ either $U \cap X = \emptyset$, or else $U \cap X$ is solid.

Without loss of generality we assume that A **is solid**.

3.3. **Trees.** For a tree T on $\omega \times \omega$ write proj$[T] = \{\sigma \in \omega^{<\omega} : \exists \tau\ (\sigma, \tau) \in T\}$. For $\sigma \in \omega^{<\omega}$ write $T_\sigma = \{\tau : (\sigma, \tau) \in T\}$ and for $s \in \omega^\omega$ write $T_s = \bigcup_{n<\omega} T_{s\restriction n}$. If $\{X_{\rho,\tau} : (\rho, \tau) \in T\}$ is a family of sets and $\sigma \in \omega^{<\omega}$, put

$$X_\sigma = \bigcup \{X_{\sigma,\tau} : \tau \in T_\sigma\}.$$

Lemma 3. *Suppose T is a tree on $\omega \times \omega$ such that for each $s \in \omega^\omega$ the tree T_s is finitely branching and there is exactly one branch $\psi(s) \in \lim T_s$. Then the map $\psi : \omega^\omega \to \omega^\omega$ is continuous.*

Proof. Let $t = \psi(s)$ and fix $n < \omega$. We need to find $m \geq n$ such that

$$\psi\big[[s\restriction m]\big] \subseteq [t\restriction n].$$

Suppose towards a contradiction that for each $m \geq n$ there is $s_m \supseteq s\restriction m$ such that $\psi(s_m) \not\supseteq t\restriction n$. Consider the tree

$$T^* = \{\tau \in T_s : \tau \not\supseteq t\restriction n\}.$$

Then for each $m \geq n$ we have

- $\psi(s_m)\restriction m \in T_{s_m\restriction m} = T_{s\restriction m} \subseteq T_s$,
- $\psi(s_m)\restriction m \not\supseteq t\restriction n$, i.e. $\psi(s_m)\restriction m \in T^*$.

So T^* is an infinite finitely branching tree. Pick $t^* \in \lim T^*$. Then $t^* \in \lim T_s$ but $t^* \neq t$, a contradiction. $\square$

3.4. **Cylinders.** By a *cylinder with base* X we mean a closed set $\tilde{X} \subseteq (\omega^\omega)^\omega \times \dot{H} \times \ddot{H}$ such that $\pi[\tilde{X}] = X$ and there exists $N < \omega$ such that for each $x \in X$ and for each $r, s \in (\omega^\omega)^\omega$

$$\big(s{\restriction}N = r{\restriction}N \ \wedge\ (s, x) \in \tilde{X}\big) \Rightarrow (r, x) \in \tilde{X}.$$

In this case we say that X *is unfolded to* $\tilde{X}$.

Note that the base of a cylinder is analytic and that every analytic subset of A can be unfolded to a cylinder. A cylinder is *solid* if its base is solid. For the rest of the proof fix a solid cylinder $\tilde{A}$ with base A.

Lemma 4.

(a) *Given a cylinder $\tilde{X}$ with base X, any analytic $Y \subseteq X$ can be unfolded to a cylinder $\tilde{Y} \subseteq \tilde{X}$.*

(b) *Given $\varepsilon > 0$, solid cylinder $\tilde{X}$ and an analytic I-positive $Y \subseteq X$, there is a solid $Z \subseteq_{\mathrm{nwd}} Y$ that can be unfolded to a cylinder $\tilde{Z} \subseteq \tilde{X}$ such that $|\tilde{Z}| < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. (a) Fix closed $D \subseteq \omega^\omega \times \dot{H} \times \ddot{H}$ that projects onto Y. Let $N < \omega$ witness that $\tilde{X}$ is a cylinder. Define $\tilde{Y}$ by

$$(x, z) \in \tilde{Y} \quad \text{iff} \quad (s, z) \in \tilde{X} \ \wedge\ (s(N), z) \in D.$$

(b) By Lemma 1 find analytic I-positive $Y_0 \subseteq_{\mathrm{nwd}} Y$. Unfold Y_0 to a cylinder $\tilde{Y}_0 \subseteq \tilde{X}$ and write $\tilde{Y}_0$ as a countable union of cylinders of diameter less than ε. At least one of them, say $\tilde{Y}$, has I-positive base. Shrink this base to a solid Z and unfold Z to a solid cylinder $\tilde{Z} \subseteq \tilde{Y}$. $\square$

3.5. **Solid trees.** Given a finite tree S on $\omega \times \omega$, call a family

$$\langle \tilde{X}_{\sigma,\tau} :\ (\sigma, \tau) \in S \rangle$$

of solid cylinders a *solid tree* if

- $\dot{X}_{\sigma,\tau} \subseteq \mathrm{cl}\dot{X}_{\sigma^*,\tau^*}$,
- $\ddot{X}_{\sigma,\tau}$ are pairwise disjoint and relatively open in $\bigcup_{(\sigma,\tau)\in S} \ddot{X}_{\sigma,\tau}$.

Lemma 5. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\langle \tilde{X}_{\sigma,\tau} : (\sigma, \tau) \in S \rangle$ be a solid tree. Suppose $\eta \notin$ $\mathrm{proj}[S]$ and $\eta^* \in \mathrm{proj}[S]$. Let $Y', Y \subseteq \dot{H} \times \ddot{H}$ be such that $\dot{Y}' \subseteq \mathrm{cl}\dot{Y}$. Then there exists a solid tree $\langle \tilde{X}'_{\sigma,\tau} : (\sigma, \tau) \in S' \rangle$ such that $S' \supseteq S$, $\mathrm{proj}[S'] = \mathrm{proj}[S] \cup \{\eta\}$ and*

(1) *for $\tau \in S'_\eta$ we have $|\tilde{X}'_{\eta,\tau}| < \varepsilon$,*

(2) *if $(\sigma, \tau) \in S$, then $\tilde{X}'_{\sigma,\tau} \subseteq \tilde{X}_{\sigma,\tau}$,*

(3) *if $\sigma \in \mathrm{proj}[S]$, then $|\dot{X}'_\sigma, \dot{X}_\sigma| < \varepsilon$,*

(4) $|X'_\eta, Y'| < |X_{\eta^*}, Y| + \varepsilon$.

Proof. Denote η^* by ξ. Fix large $K < \omega$. Find $L < \omega$ and $a^k_{\tau,l} \in X_{\xi,\tau}$ (for $l < L, k < K, \tau \in S_\xi$) such that

- $|\{\dot{a}^k_{\tau,l} : l < L\}, \dot{X}_{\xi,\tau}| < \varepsilon$ for each k, τ (use compactness of $\dot{H}$),
- all $\ddot{a}^k_{\tau,l}$ are distinct and at distance $> \delta$ for some fixed $\delta > 0$.

For $l < L$ find a solid cylinder $\tilde{X}^k_{\xi,\tau,l} \subseteq \tilde{X}_{\xi,\tau}$ of diameter less than ε such that

$$X^k_{\xi,\tau,l} \subseteq_{\mathrm{nwd}} \{x \in X_{\xi,\tau} : |\ddot{x}, \ddot{a}^k_{\tau,l}| < \delta/4\}.$$

Next, find $L^k_\tau \subseteq L$ such that

$$|\{\dot{a}^k_{\tau,l} : l \in L^k_\tau\}, \dot{Y}'| < |\{\dot{a}^k_{\tau,l} : l \in L\}, \dot{Y}| + \varepsilon$$

($\dot{Y}' \subseteq \mathrm{cl}\dot{Y}$ is used here). Now unfold

$$X^k_{\xi,\tau} = \{x \in X_{\xi,\tau} : \forall l < L \ |\ddot{x}, \ddot{a}^k_{\tau,l}| > \delta/3\}$$

to a solid cylinder $\tilde{X}^k_{\xi,\tau} \subseteq \tilde{X}_{\xi,\tau}$.

For $(\sigma,\tau) \in S$ such that $\xi \subsetneq \sigma$ find a solid cylinder $\tilde{X}^k_{\sigma,\tau} \subseteq \tilde{X}_{\sigma,\tau}$ such that

$$\dot{X}^k_{\sigma,\tau} = \mathrm{int}_{\dot{X}_{\sigma,\tau}} \mathrm{cl}\dot{X}^k_{\sigma^*,\tau^*}.$$

Claim. If $(\sigma,\tau) \in S$, $\xi, \subseteq \sigma$ and $k' \neq k$, then

$$\mathrm{cl}\dot{X}_{\sigma,\tau} = \mathrm{cl}\dot{X}^k_{\sigma,\tau} \cup \mathrm{cl}\dot{X}^{k'}_{\sigma,\tau}.$$

Proof of Claim. Clearly $\dot{X}_{\xi,\tau} = \dot{X}^k_{\xi,\tau} \cup \dot{X}^{k'}_{\xi,\tau}$. Using

$$\mathrm{cl}\dot{X} \cup \mathrm{cl}\dot{Y} = \mathrm{cl}\,\mathrm{int}\,\mathrm{cl}\dot{X} \cup \mathrm{cl}\,\mathrm{int}\,\mathrm{cl}\dot{Y}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{cl}\dot{X}^k_{\sigma,\tau} \cup \mathrm{cl}\dot{X}^{k'}_{\sigma,\tau} &= \mathrm{cl}\,\mathrm{int}_{\dot{X}_{\sigma,\tau}} \mathrm{cl}\dot{X}^k_{\sigma^*,\tau^*} \ \cup \ \mathrm{cl}\,\mathrm{int}_{\dot{X}_{\sigma,\tau}} \mathrm{cl}\dot{X}^{k'}_{\sigma^*,\tau^*} \\
&= \mathrm{cl}\big[\mathrm{cl}_{\dot{X}_{\sigma,\tau}} \mathrm{int}_{\dot{X}_{\sigma,\tau}} \mathrm{cl}\dot{X}^k_{\sigma^*,\tau^*} \ \cup \ \mathrm{cl}_{\dot{X}_{\sigma,\tau}} \mathrm{int}_{\dot{X}_{\sigma,\tau}} \mathrm{cl}\dot{X}^{k'}_{\sigma^*,\tau^*}\big] \\
&= \mathrm{cl}\big[\mathrm{cl}_{\dot{X}_{\sigma,\tau}} \dot{X}^k_{\sigma^*,\tau^*} \ \cup \ \mathrm{cl}_{\dot{X}_{\sigma,\tau}} \dot{X}^{k'}_{\sigma^*,\tau^*}\big] = \mathrm{cl}\dot{X}^k_{\sigma^*,\tau^*} \cup \mathrm{cl}\dot{X}^{k'}_{\sigma^*,\tau^*}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Now, using Lemma 2 we find $k \in K$ such that

$$\forall (\sigma,\tau) \in S \quad \xi \subseteq \sigma \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\dot{X}^k_{\sigma,\tau}, \dot{X}_{\sigma,\tau}| < \varepsilon.$$

Let $S' = S \cup \{(\eta, \tau^\frown l) : l \in L^k_\tau, \tau \in S_\xi\}$ and put

$$\tilde{X}'_{\eta,\tau^\frown l} = \tilde{X}^k_{\xi,\tau,l}$$

(for $l \in L^k_\tau$), for $(\sigma, \tau) \in S$ put

$$\tilde{X}'_{\sigma,\tau} = \begin{cases} \tilde{X}^k_{\sigma,\tau} & \text{if} \quad \xi \subseteq \sigma, \\ \tilde{X}_{\sigma,\tau} & \text{if} \quad \xi \not\subseteq \sigma. \end{cases}$$

□

3.6. **Construction of $\dot\varphi$ and $\ddot\varphi$.** In order to define $\dot\varphi$ and $\ddot\varphi$ we shall construct

- a tree T on $\omega \times \omega$ such that $\mathrm{proj}[T] = \omega^{<\omega}$ and T_σ is finite for each $\sigma \in \omega^{<\omega}$,
- a Lusin scheme $\langle \tilde{Z}_{\sigma,\tau} : (\sigma, \tau) \in T\rangle$ with the vanishing diameter property of solid subcylinders of $\tilde{A}$ so that
  - (i) the scheme $\langle \dot{Z}_\sigma : \sigma \in \mathrm{proj}[T]\rangle$ also has the vanishing diameter property,
  - (ii) $\ddot{Z}_{\sigma,\tau}$ is relatively open in $\bigcup\{\ddot{Z}_\rho : \mathrm{lh}\rho = \mathrm{lh}\sigma\}$,
  - (iii) $\forall\sigma \in \omega^n\ \exists\varepsilon_\sigma > 0\ \forall\rho \in \omega^n$

$$|\rho, \sigma| < \varepsilon_\sigma \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\dot{Z}_\rho, \dot{Z}_\sigma| < |\rho, \sigma| + 2 \cdot 2^{-n}$$

Suppose we have done this and let $\Phi : \lim T \to \tilde{A}$,

$$\{\Phi(s, t)\} = \bigcap_{n<\omega} \tilde{Z}_{s\restriction n, t\restriction n}$$

be the associated map.

Note that for each $s \in \omega^\omega$ the tree T_s is finitely branching, so $\lim T_s \neq \emptyset$ and by $|\dot{Z}_{s\restriction n}| \to 0$ there is exactly one branch $\psi(s) \in \lim T_s$. By Lemma 3 the map $\psi : \omega^\omega \to \omega^\omega$ is continuous and therefore its graph is homeomorphic to ω^ω via $\bar\psi : \omega^\omega \to \lim T$, $\bar\psi(s) = (s, \psi(s))$. Define $\bar\Phi = \Phi \circ \bar\psi$.

Now, $\bar\Phi$ is continuous and $\pi \circ \bar\Phi$ maps ω^ω into A. Define $\dot\varphi = \dot\pi \circ \bar\Phi$ and $\ddot\varphi = \ddot\pi \circ \bar\Phi$. Note that $A \circ \dot\varphi = \ddot\varphi = P \circ \ddot\varphi$ since $\pi \circ \bar\Phi \subseteq A$.

Claim. The map $\dot\varphi$ is a homeomorphic embedding from ω^ω with the Cantor topology into $\dot{A}$.

Proof. Note that the map $\dot\varphi$ is associated with the Lusin scheme $\langle \dot{Z}_\sigma : \sigma \in \omega^{<\omega}\rangle$. Since the Cantor topology is compact, we only need to prove that $\dot\varphi$ is continuous. Fix $s \in \omega^\omega$ and large $n \in \omega$. Consider $r \in \omega^\omega$ such that $|r, s| < \varepsilon_{s\restriction n}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\dot\varphi(r), \dot\varphi(s)| \leq |\dot{Z}_{r\restriction n}, \dot{Z}_{\restriction n}| + |\dot{Z}_{s\restriction n}| &< |r\restriction n, s\restriction n| + 2 \cdot 2^{-n} + |\dot{Z}_{s\restriction n}| \\ &\leq |r, s| + 2 \cdot 2^{-n} + |\dot{Z}_{s\restriction n}| \end{aligned}$$

□

Claim. The map $\ddot\varphi$ is a homeomorphic embedding from ω^ω with the Baire topology into $\ddot{A}$.

Proof. From the previous claim we get that $\ddot{\varphi}$ is continuous, since the Cantor topology extends the Baire topology. To see that it is open note that $\ddot{\varphi}$ is the map associated with the Lusin scheme $\langle \ddot{Z}_\sigma : \sigma \in \omega^{<\omega} \rangle$ and use (ii). $\square$

3.7. **Construction of the solid tree.** The following lemma is pretty straightforward, so we leave it without proof.

Lemma 6. *Let S be a tree and $\langle \ddot{X}_\sigma : \sigma \in S \rangle$ be a Lusin scheme such that for each $\sigma \in S$ the set $\ddot{X}_\sigma$ is relatively open in $\bigcup \{ \ddot{X}_\rho : \mathrm{lh}\rho = \mathrm{lh}\sigma \}$. Let $\Sigma \subseteq S$ be an antichain. Then for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$ the set $\ddot{X}_\sigma$ is relatively open in $\bigcup \{ \ddot{X}_\rho : \rho \in \Sigma \}$.*

Now, we inductively construct the i-th approximation $T^i = \{ (\sigma, \tau) \in T : \#\sigma \leq i \}$ of T and a solid tree $\langle \tilde{Z}^i_{\sigma,\tau} : (\sigma,\tau) \in T^i \rangle$ such that $\tilde{Z}^{i+1}_{\sigma,\tau} \subseteq \tilde{Z}^i_{\sigma,\tau}$ and $|\tilde{Z}^i_{\sigma,\tau}| < 2^{-\mathrm{lh}\sigma}$

We ensure that

(1) if $\sigma \neq \sigma'$, then $|\dot{Z}^i_\sigma, \dot{Z}^i_{\sigma'}| < |\sigma, \sigma'|$ (hence $|\dot{Z}^i_\sigma, \dot{Z}^i_{\sigma^k}| < |\sigma, \sigma^k|$ for each k),
(2) if $\sigma = \sigma'$, then $|\dot{Z}^i_\sigma| < 2^{-\mathrm{lh}\sigma}$,
(3) $|\dot{Z}^{i+1}_\sigma, \dot{Z}^i_\sigma| < 2^{-i}$ (hence $|\dot{Z}^j_\sigma, \dot{Z}^i_\sigma| < 2 \cdot 2^{-\mathrm{lh}\sigma}$ for each $j \geq i$).

Once this is done, set $\tilde{Z}_{\sigma,\tau} = \tilde{Z}^{\#\sigma}_{\sigma,\tau}$ and note that

(4) $|\dot{Z}_\sigma, \dot{Z}_{\sigma^k}| \leq |\sigma, \sigma^k| + 2 \cdot 2^{-\mathrm{lh}\sigma}$.

Indeed, $|\dot{Z}_\sigma, \dot{Z}_{\sigma^k}| \leq |\dot{Z}^{\#\sigma}_\sigma, \dot{Z}^{\#\sigma}_{\sigma^k}| + |\dot{Z}^{\#\sigma}_{\sigma^k}, \dot{Z}^{\#\sigma^k}_{\sigma^k}| < |\sigma, \sigma^k| + 2 \cdot 2^{-\mathrm{lh}\sigma}$.

Moreover,

(5) $\forall n < \omega \ \forall \sigma \in \omega^n \quad |\dot{Z}_\sigma| < 5 \cdot 2^{-n}$.

Indeed, by (2) and (4) we get $|\dot{Z}_\sigma| \leq 2 \cdot |\dot{Z}^{\#\sigma}_\sigma, \dot{Z}^{\#\sigma}_{\sigma^n}| + |\dot{Z}^{\#\sigma}_{\sigma^n}| < 2 \cdot |\sigma, \sigma^n| + 2^{-n} < 5 \cdot 2^{-n}$.

Now we show how the above construction is used in Section 3.6. Note that (5) implies (i). To see (ii) and (iii) observe that:

- (ii) follows by Lemma 6 applied to the Lusin scheme, whose i-th level is $\{ \ddot{Z}^i_{\sigma,\tau} : \#\sigma \leq i \}$. The set $\{ ((\sigma,\tau), i)) : (\sigma,\tau) \in T \wedge \#\sigma \leq i \}$ is given a tree ordering so that $((\sigma,\tau), i) \leq ((\sigma,\tau), i+1)$ and $((\sigma^*,\tau^*), i) \leq ((\sigma,\tau), i+1)$; so
$$((\sigma,\tau), i+1)^* = \begin{cases} ((\sigma,\tau), i) & \text{if} \quad \#\sigma \leq i, \\ ((\sigma^*,\tau^*), i) & \text{if} \quad \#\sigma = i+1. \end{cases}$$
- to see (iii), fix $\sigma \in \omega^n$ and choose ε_σ so small that if $|\rho, \sigma| < \varepsilon_\sigma$, then for each $m < n$:
  if $\sigma(m) \neq 0$, then $\sigma(m) = \rho(m)$,
  if $\sigma(m) = 0$, then $\rho(m) = 0$ or $\rho(m) > \max \sigma, n$.

Then $|\rho,\sigma| < \varepsilon_\sigma$ implies that $\sigma = \rho^k$ for some $k \leq n$ and thus $|Z_\rho, Z_\sigma| < |\rho,\sigma| + 2\cdot 2^{-n}$, by (4).

The construction of the trees T^i goes as follows.

Step 0. Put $T^0 = \{\emptyset,\emptyset\}$ and $\tilde{Z}^0_{\emptyset,\emptyset} = \tilde{A}$.

Step $\mathbf{n \to n+1}$.

Apply Lemma 5 to small $\varepsilon > 0$, $S = T^n$, η such that $\#\eta = n+1$ and $\tilde{X}_{\sigma,\tau} = \tilde{Z}^n_{\sigma,\tau}$ for $(\sigma,\tau) \in T^n$, as Y and Y' use:

(**A**) if $\eta \neq \eta'$, then use $Y = Z^n_{\eta'^*}$ and $Y' = Z^n_{\eta'}$,

(**B**) if $\eta = \eta'$, then use $Y = Z^n_{\eta^*}$ and $Y' = \{y\}$ for any $y \in Y$,

(note that in both cases we have $\dot{Y}' \subseteq \mathrm{cl}\dot{Y}$).

Put $T^{n+1} = S'$ and $\tilde{Z}^{n+1}_{\sigma,\tau} = \tilde{X}'_{\sigma,\tau}$ for $(\sigma,\tau) \in T^{n+1}$.

We need to verify (1) and (2), small ε takes care of (3). Pick σ. There are two cases

Case 1. Suppose $\sigma = \eta$.

Subcase 1A. If we are in case (A) of the construction, then

$$|\dot{Z}^{n+1}_\eta, \dot{Z}^{n+1}_{\eta'}| < |\dot{Z}^{n+1}_\eta, \dot{Z}^n_{\eta'}| + \varepsilon < |\dot{Z}^n_{\eta^*}, \dot{Z}^n_{\eta'^*}| + 2\varepsilon$$

the first inequality follows from Lemma 5(3) and the second follows from Lemma 5(4) and choice of Y, Y'. Next, if $\eta^* = \eta'^*$, then $|\dot{Z}^n_{\eta^*}, \dot{Z}^n_{\eta'^*}| = 0$ and we are done; else if $\eta^* \neq \eta'^*$, then $\eta'^* = \eta^{*\prime}$ (the same place changes in η and η^*) and

$$|\dot{Z}^n_{\eta^*}, \dot{Z}^n_{\eta'^*}| + 2\varepsilon \leq |\dot{Z}^n_{\eta^*}, \dot{Z}^n_{\eta^{*\prime}}| + 2\varepsilon < |\eta^*, \eta^{*\prime}| = |\eta, \eta'|$$

by small ε and induction hypothesis.

Subcase 1B. If we are in case (B) of the construction, then we have

$$|\dot{Z}^{n+1}_\eta| \leq 2\cdot|\dot{Z}^{n+1}_\eta, Y'| < 2\cdot|\dot{Z}^n_{\eta^*}, Y| + 2\varepsilon = 2\varepsilon$$

since $|\dot{Z}^n_{\eta^*}, Y| = 0$.

Case 2. Suppose $\sigma \neq \eta$. Now (1) follows by

$$|\dot{Z}^{n+1}_\sigma, \dot{Z}^{n+1}_{\sigma'}| < |\dot{Z}^{n+1}_\sigma, \dot{Z}^n_\sigma| + |\dot{Z}^n_\sigma, \dot{Z}^n_{\sigma'}| + |\dot{Z}^n_{\sigma'}, \dot{Z}^{n+1}_{\sigma'}| < \varepsilon + |\dot{Z}^n_\sigma, \dot{Z}^n_{\sigma'}| + \varepsilon < |\sigma, \sigma'|$$

by the induction hypothesis and since ε is small enough.

Finally, (2) follows by $Z^{n+1}_\sigma \subseteq Z^n_\sigma$.

REFERENCES

[1] Cichoń J., Morayne M., Pawlikowski J. and Solecki S., *Decomposing Baire functions*, Journal of Symbolic Logic, Vol. 56, Issue 4, 1991, pp. 1273–1283

[2] Solecki S., *Decomposing Borel sets and functions and the structure of Baire class 1 functions*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 11, No. 3, 1998, pp. 521–550

[3] Zapletal J., *Descriptive Set Theory and Definable Forcing*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, 2004

Mathematical Institute, Wrocław University, pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland
E-mail address: `pawlikow@math.uni.wroc.pl, sabok@math.uni.wroc.pl`